# **Tennessee Local Development Authority**

For the Year Ended June 30, 2004

# Arthur A. Hayes, Jr., CPA, JD, CFE Director

# Edward Burr, CPA Assistant Director

Elizabeth M. Birchett, CPA
Audit Manager

Robyn R. Probus, CPA, CFE
In-Charge Auditor

Kimberly White
Staff Auditor

Gerry C. Boaz, CPA
Technical Analyst

Amy Brack
Editor

Comptroller of the Treasury, Division of State Audit 1500 James K. Polk Building, Nashville, TN 37243-0264 (615) 401-7897

Financial/compliance audits of state departments and agencies are available on-line at www.comptroller.state.tn.us/sa/reports/index.html.

For more information about the Comptroller of the Treasury, please visit our Web site at www.comptroller.state.tn.us.



#### STATE OF TENNESSEE COMPTROLLER OF THE TREASURY

State Capitol Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0260 (615) 741-2501

John G. Morgan Comptroller

February 15, 2005

The Honorable Phil Bredesen, Governor

and

Members of the General Assembly

State Capitol

Nashville, Tennessee 37243

and

Members of the Tennessee Local Development Authority

State Capitol

Nashville, Tennessee 37243

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Transmitted herewith is the financial and compliance audit of the Tennessee Local Development Authority for the year ended June 30, 2004. You will note from the independent auditor's report that an unqualified opinion was given on the fairness of the presentation of the financial statements.

Consideration of internal control over financial reporting and tests of compliance resulted in no audit findings.

Sincerely,

John G. Morgan

Comptroller of the Treasury

JGM/rrp 05/015 State of Tennessee

# Audit Highlights

Comptroller of the Treasury

Division of State Audit

Financial and Compliance Audit **Tennessee Local Development Authority**For the Year Ended June 30, 2004

#### **AUDIT OBJECTIVES**

The objectives of the audit were to consider the Authority's internal control over financial reporting; to determine compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, and contracts (including bond resolutions); to determine the fairness of the presentation of the financial statements; and to recommend appropriate actions to correct any deficiencies.

#### **AUDIT FINDINGS**

The audit report contains no findings.

#### **OPINION ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The opinion on the financial statements is unqualified.

# Audit Report Tennessee Local Development Authority For the Year Ended June 30, 2004

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Exhibit</u>	Page
INTRODUCTION		1
Post-Audit Authority		1
Background		1
Organization		1
AUDIT SCOPE		2
OBJECTIVES OF THE AUDIT		2
PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS		2
OBSERVATIONS AND COMMENTS		4
Fraud Considerations		4
Audit Committee Recommendations		4
RESULTS OF THE AUDIT		7
Audit Conclusions		7
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards		8
FINANCIAL SECTION		10
Independent Auditor's Report		10
Management's Discussion and Analysis		12
Financial Statements		17
Statements of Net Assets	A	17
Statements of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets	В	18

### TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

	<u>Exhibit</u>	Page
Statements of Cash Flows	C	19
Notes to the Financial Statements		20
Supplementary Information		
Supplementary Statements of Net Assets—Program Level		28
Supplementary Statements of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets—Program Level		29
Supplementary Statements of Cash Flows—Program Level		30

## Tennessee Local Development Authority For the Year Ended June 30, 2004

#### INTRODUCTION

#### POST-AUDIT AUTHORITY

This is a report on the financial and compliance audit of the Tennessee Local Development Authority. The audit was conducted pursuant to Section 4-3-304, *Tennessee Code Annotated*, which authorizes the Department of Audit to "perform currently a post-audit of all accounts and other financial records of the state government, and of any department, institution, office, or agency thereof in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and in accordance with such procedures as may be established by the comptroller."

Section 8-4-109, *Tennessee Code Annotated*, authorizes the Comptroller of the Treasury to audit any books and records of any governmental entity that handles public funds when the Comptroller considers an audit to be necessary or appropriate.

#### BACKGROUND

The Tennessee Local Development Authority was created April 2, 1978, by an act of the General Assembly, codified as Title 4, Chapter 31, *Tennessee Code Annotated*. The Authority is delegated the responsibility for issuing its debt obligations to provide funds to make loans to local governments for the State Loan Programs and for capital projects; certain small business concerns for pollution control facilities; farmers for certain capital improvements; counties for the acquisition of equipment for use by county or volunteer fire departments serving unincorporated areas of the counties; airport authorities and municipal airports; and mental health/retardation/alcohol and drug facilities (the Community Provider Pooled Loan Program). To date, the Authority has issued debt only to fund the State Loan Programs and the Community Provider Pooled Loan Program.

#### **ORGANIZATION**

The Tennessee Local Development Authority is composed of the Governor, the Secretary of State, the Comptroller of the Treasury, the State Treasurer, the Commissioner of Finance and Administration, and two other members—one appointed by the Speaker of the Senate from nominations by the Tennessee County Services Association and the other by the Speaker of the House from Nominations by the Tennessee Municipal League. The Governor serves as Chairman, and the Comptroller of the Treasury serves as Secretary.

An organization chart for the Tennessee Local Development Authority is on the following page.

#### AUDIT SCOPE

The audit was limited to the period July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2004, and was conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Financial statements are presented for the year ended June 30, 2004, and for comparative purposes, the year ended June 30, 2003. The Tennessee Local Development Authority has been included as a component unit in the *Tennessee Comprehensive Annual Financial Report*.

#### **OBJECTIVES OF THE AUDIT**


The objectives of the audit were

- 1. to consider the Authority's internal control over financial reporting to determine auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the financial statements;
- 2. to determine compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, and contracts (including bond resolutions);
- 3. to determine the fairness of the presentation of the financial statements; and
- 4. to recommend appropriate actions to correct any deficiencies.

#### PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS

There were no findings in the prior audit report.

# TENNESSEE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY ORGANIZATION CHART



#### **OBSERVATIONS AND COMMENTS**

#### FRAUD CONSIDERATIONS

Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99 promulgated by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants requires auditors to specifically assess the risk of material misstatement of an audited entity's financial statements due to fraud. The standard also restates the obvious premise that management, and not the auditors, is primarily responsible for preventing and detecting fraud in its own entity. Management's responsibility is fulfilled in part when it takes appropriate steps to assess the risk of fraud within the entity and to implement adequate internal controls to address the results of those risk assessments.

During our audit, we discussed these responsibilities with management and how management might approach meeting them. We also increased the breadth and depth of our inquiries of management and others in the entity as we deemed appropriate. We obtained formal assurances from top management that management had reviewed the entity's policies and procedures to ensure that they are properly designed to prevent and detect fraud and that management had made changes to the policies and procedures where appropriate. Top management further assured us that all staff had been advised to promptly alert management of all allegations of fraud, suspected fraud, or detected fraud and to be totally candid in all communications with the auditors. All levels of management assured us there were no known instances or allegations of fraud that were not disclosed to us.

#### AUDIT COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

As a result of the fraud-related business failures of companies such as Enron and WorldCom in recent years, Congress and the accounting profession have taken aggressive measures to try to detect and prevent future failures related to fraud. These measures have included the signing of the *Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002* by the President of the United States and the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99 by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. This new fraud auditing standard has not only changed the way auditors perform audits but has also provided guidance to management and boards of directors on creating antifraud programs and controls. This guidance has included the need for an independent audit committee.

The Tennessee Local Development Authority has created an audit committee. The audit committee received initial training on its responsibilities and is in the process of creating its charter and calendar of events. The specific activities of any audit committee will depend on, among other things, the mission, nature, structure, and size of each agency. In creating its charter, the TLDA board should examine the agency's particular circumstances. The following observations and comments regarding audit committees were presented to the TLDA audit committee members for consideration during their initial training.

Anti-fraud literature notes that there are two categories of fraud: fraudulent financial reporting and misappropriation of assets. The audit committee should consider the risks of fraud in its agency in general as well as the history of its particular agency with regard to prior audit findings, previously disclosed weaknesses in internal control, and compliance issues. The audit committee should consider both the risk of fraudulent financial reporting and the risk of fraud due to misappropriation or abuse of agency assets. Also, the board and the audit committee should keep in mind that agencies receiving public funding should have a lower threshold of materiality than private sector entities with regard to fraud risks.

Boards should exercise professional judgment in establishing the duties, responsibilities, and authority of their audit committee. The factors noted below are not intended to be an exhaustive listing of those matters to be considered. The committee should not limit its scope to reacting to a preconceived set of issues and actions but rather should be proactive in its oversight of the agency as it concentrates on the internal control and audit-related activities of the entity. In fact, this individualized approach is one of the main benefits derived from an audit committee.

#### At a minimum, audit committees should:

- 1. Develop a written charter that addresses the audit committee's purpose and mission, which should be, at a minimum, to assist the board in its oversight of the agency.
- 2. Formally reiterate, on a regular basis, to the board, agency management, and staff their responsibilities for preventing, detecting, and reporting fraud, waste, and abuse.
- 3. Serve as a facilitator of any audits or investigations of the agency, including advising auditors and investigators of any information they may receive or otherwise note regarding risks of fraud or weaknesses in the agency's internal controls; reviewing with the auditors any findings or other matters noted by the auditors during audit engagements; working with the agency management and staff to ensure implementation of audit recommendations; and assisting in the resolution of any problems the auditors may have with cooperation from agency management or staff.
- 4. Develop a formal process for assessing the risk of fraud at the agency, including documentation of the results of the assessments and assuring that internal controls are in place to adequately mitigate those risks.
- 5. Develop and communicate to staff of the agency their responsibilities to report allegations of fraud, waste, or abuse at the agency to the committee and the Comptroller of the Treasury's office as well as a process for immediately reporting such information.
- 6. Immediately inform the Comptroller's office when fraud is detected.
- 7. Develop and communicate to the board, agency management, and staff a written code of conduct reminding those individuals of the public nature of the agency and the need for all to

maintain the highest level of integrity with regard to the financial operations and any related financial reporting responsibilities of the agency; to avoid preparing or issuing fraudulent or misleading financial reports or other information; to protect agency assets from fraud, waste, and abuse; to comply with all relevant laws, rules, policies, and procedures; and to avoid engaging in activities which would otherwise bring dishonor to the agency.

The charter of the audit committee should include, at a minimum, the following provisions:

- 1. The audit committee should be a standing committee of the board.
- 2. The audit committee should be composed of at least three members. The chair of the audit committee should preferably have some accounting or financial management background. Each member of the audit committee should have an adequate background and education to allow a reasonable understanding of the information presented in the financial reports of the agency and the comments of auditors with regard to internal control and compliance findings and other issues.
- 3. The members of the audit committee must be independent from any appearances of other interests that are in conflict with their duties as members of the audit committee.
- 4. An express recognition that the board, the audit committee, and the management and staff of the agency are responsible for taking all reasonable steps to prevent, detect, and report fraud, waste, and abuse.
- 5. The audit committee should meet regularly throughout the year. The audit committee can meet by telephone, if that is permissible for other committees. However, the audit committee is strongly urged to meet at least once a year in person. Members of the audit committee may be members of other standing committees of the board, but the audit committee meetings should be separate from the meetings of other committees of the board.
- 6. The audit committee should record minutes of its meetings.

The Division of State Audit will be available to discuss with the board any questions it might have about the audit committee. There are also other audit committees which have already been established at other state agencies that the board may wish to contact for advice and further information.

#### RESULTS OF THE AUDIT

#### **AUDIT CONCLUSIONS**

#### **Internal Control**

As part of the audit of the Tennessee Local Development Authority's financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2004, we considered internal control over financial reporting to determine auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the financial statements, as required by auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Consideration of internal control over financial reporting disclosed no material weaknesses.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

The results of our audit tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### Fairness of Financial Statement Presentation

The Division of State Audit has rendered an unqualified opinion on the Tennessee Local Development Authority's financial statements.



# STATE OF TENNESSEE COMPTROLLER OF THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT OF AUDIT DIVISION OF STATE AUDIT

SUITE 1500

JAMES K. POLK STATE OFFICE BUILDING
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-0264
PHONE (615) 401-7897
FAX (615) 532-2765

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

October 15, 2004

The Honorable John G. Morgan Comptroller of the Treasury State Capitol Nashville, Tennessee 37243

Dear Mr. Morgan:

We have audited the financial statements of the Tennessee Local Development Authority, a component unit of the State of Tennessee, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2004, and have issued our report thereon dated October 15, 2004. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Authority's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their

The Honorable John G. Morgan October 15, 2004 Page Two

assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Authority's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of the Authority's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, and contracts (including bond resolutions), noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the General Assembly of the State of Tennessee, the board of directors, and management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record.

Sincerely,

Arthur A. Hayes, Jr., CPA,

tien altayest.

Director

AAH/rrp



# STATE OF TENNESSEE COMPTROLLER OF THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT OF AUDIT DIVISION OF STATE AUDIT

SUITE 1500

JAMES K. POLK STATE OFFICE BUILDING
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-0264
PHONE (615) 401-7897
FAX (615) 532-2765

#### **Independent Auditor's Report**

October 15, 2004

The Honorable John G. Morgan Comptroller of the Treasury State Capitol Nashville. Tennessee 37243

Dear Mr. Morgan:

We have audited the accompanying statements of net assets of the Tennessee Local Development Authority, a component unit of the State of Tennessee, as of June 30, 2004, and June 30, 2003, and the related statements of revenues, expenses, and changes in net assets and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Authority's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements, based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion. Tennessee statutes, in addition to audit responsibilities, entrust certain other responsibilities to the Comptroller of the Treasury. Those responsibilities include serving as a member of the board of directors of the Tennessee Local Development Authority; approving accounting policies of the state as prepared by the state's Department of Finance and Administration; approving certain Authority contracts; maintaining the accounting records for the Tennessee Local Development Authority; and providing support staff to the Authority.

The Honorable John G. Morgan October 15, 2004 Page Two

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Authority as of June 30, 2004, and June 30, 2003, and the changes in its financial position and cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The management's discussion and analysis on pages 12 through 16 is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements, taken as a whole. The accompanying financial information on pages 28 through 30 is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audits of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated October 15, 2004, on our consideration of the Authority's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, and contracts (including bond resolutions) and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Sincerely,

Arthur A. Hayes, Jr., CPA,

Director

AAH/rrp

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

As management of the Tennessee Local Development Authority, we offer readers of the Authority's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the Authority for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2004, and June 30, 2003.

#### **Program Activity Highlights**

The Authority's purpose is to provide loans to Local Government Units under the State Loan Programs, and to qualified borrowers under the Community Provider Loan program. The table below summarizes this business activity.

Pursuant to Title 4, Chapter 31, *Tennessee Code Annotated*, the General Assembly of the state created the Tennessee Local Development Authority to issue bonds and notes to fund capital projects for a variety of purposes. Currently, the active programs of the Authority include:

- (1) the State Loan Programs providing assistance to Local Government Units in the construction of waterworks, sewage treatment, and energy and/or solid waste recovery facilities; and
- (2) the Community Provider Program providing facility construction assistance to licensed, nonprofit, 501(c)(3) corporations under grant contracts with the state to deliver mental health, mental retardation, or alcohol and drug services.

	Local Government <u>Units</u>				Community <u>Providers</u>	y
	2004	2003	2002	<u>2004</u>	2003	2002
Number of borrowers with outstanding loans	44	49	60	14	15	18
Total number of outstanding loans	75	91	114	18	20	24
Total amount of outstanding loans (in thousands)	\$69,995	\$76,067	\$82,685	\$7,149	\$7,987	\$12,360
Number of loans approved in fiscal year	3	0	2	0	0	0
Amount of loans funded in fiscal year (in thousands)	\$4,146	0	\$11,810	0	0	0

The financial statements and the analysis provided in the remainder of this report reflect the financial results of this activity. For more specific financial information on long-term debt activity, see Note 5, Debt Payable, in the Notes to the Financial Statements.

#### **Debt Administration**

A financial analysis of each loan in the State Loan Programs is undertaken before it is approved by the Authority. Each Local Government Unit must demonstrate that it has enacted rates and fees sufficient to repay the debt, including operations, maintenance, and depreciation. The Authority is authorized to intercept the local community's state-shared taxes, should the government unit fail to repay timely its

loan. Similarly, Community Providers must also charge fees sufficient to repay their debt, including operations, maintenance, and depreciation. The Authority is also authorized by statute to intercept the state appropriation to the Community Provider should the borrower fail to make timely debt service payments to the Authority.

Under the financing program for the State Loan Programs, during the construction phase of a project, the project generally is funded through the issuance of Bond Anticipation Notes. When sufficient projects are completed to assure an appropriate economy of scale, the Authority fixes the interest rate for the term of the project by issuing long-term debt. Interest rates on the State Loan Programs facilities long-term fixed-rate loans range from a low of 1.95% to a high of 7.25%. By pooling the financing of their capital needs, management believes that economic efficiencies of a single large borrowing administered by one agency are achieved. The creditworthiness of both large and small Local Government Units is homogenized into one credit resulting in a lower cost of borrowing to all participants.

The Authority's debt issued for the State Loan Programs is rated A+, A1, and AA by Fitch, Moody's Investors Service, and Standard & Poor's Rating Group, respectively. Fitch comments that the rating reflects the quality administration of the program and successful market access for 20 years. Standard & Poor's cites the underlying credit quality of the local governments receiving loans as a strength of the credit. Moody's comments that the responsibility of the localities to repay loans, the sound legal provisions, and state oversight were factors in the rating process. All rating agencies comment that there had been no recourse to the state intercept of state-shared taxes nor to the statutory reserve fund as an additional strength of the credit.

The Community Provider program was originally authorized in 1990 by the legislature to provide construction financing for eligible borrowers at interest rates lower than would otherwise be obtainable from private industry. The program was initially funded through the issuance of the 1992 and the 1994 Community Provider bonds. In 1999, the State Funding Board loaned \$16,000,000 to the Authority to defease the 1992 and 1994 bonds. The interest rate on the loan varies according to market conditions for the State of Tennessee's general obligation commercial paper. The rate ranged from 1.10% to 1.20% during 2004, and from 1.26% to 1.87% during 2003.

#### **Overview of the Financial Statements**

The Authority is a discretely presented component unit of the State of Tennessee and uses enterprise fund accounting. The financial statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America using the accrual basis of accounting and the flow of economic resources measurement focus. This basis recognizes revenues when earned and expenses at the time liabilities are incurred. Using the economic resources measurement focus, a reader is presented information that allows him to determine the transactions and events that have increased or decreased the total economic resources for the period.

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Authority's basic financial statements. The Authority's basic financial statements comprise two components: (1) the basic financial statements and (2) notes to the financial statements. The basic financial statements consist of the Statement of Net Assets; the Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets; and the Statement of Cash Flows. The Statement of Net Assets depicts the Authority's financial position at June 30, 2004. The Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets reports the results of inflows and outflows and the change in net assets for the year. The Statement of Cash Flows summarizes the sources and uses of cash throughout the fiscal year. These statements are supplemented by notes to the financial statements that provide information that is essential to the reader's understanding of the financial statements. This report also contains supplementary information containing financial statement information at the program level in addition to the basic financial statements and notes.

#### **Financial Analysis of the Authority**

Standard indicators of financial success are not applicable to the Authority. The financial goal of the Authority is to provide timely access to the capital markets at the lowest possible cost and to make creditworthy loans. The Authority successfully achieved this goal. There were no incidents requiring the Authority to draw from the debt service reserve fund or refuse a loan from an applicant due to the inability to obtain capital funding.

Statements of Net Assets Summary (in thousands)					
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>		
Current assets	\$ 22,193	\$ 27,422	\$ 33,040		
Restricted assets	4,537	5,917	6,634		
Other assets	<u>72,178</u>	80,478	90,313		
Total assets	98,908	_113,817	129,987		
Current liabilities	52,106	53,648	57,397		
Noncurrent liabilities	35,654	48,590	58,739		
Total liabilities	87,760	102,238	116,136		
Net assets:					
Restricted net assets	351	351	351		
Unrestricted net assets	10,797	11,228	13,500		
Total net assets	\$ <u>11,148</u>	\$ <u>11,579</u>	\$ <u>13,851</u>		
Note: The Authorit	y owns no capital assets.				

During the year ended June 30, 2004, long-term principal matured and was repaid in the amount of \$11,316,000. The Authority issued \$47,500,000 in State Loan Programs Bond Anticipation Notes. It also redeemed \$48,500,000 of 2003 Bond Anticipation Notes. The Community Provider program repaid \$2,500,000 of its loan to the State Funding Board with payments made by borrowers.

During the year ended June 30, 2003, the Authority issued \$8,295,000 in State Loan Programs Revenue Refunding Bonds to refund \$8,381,000 of outstanding debt. As a part of the refunding, the Authority allowed eligible borrowers to repay all or a portion of their outstanding loan balances. Eleven borrowers participated in this option and redeemed \$2,714,000. Long-term principal, including the refunding and the extraordinary redemption, was repaid in the amount of \$15,320,000. The Authority also issued \$48,500,000 in State Loan Programs Bond Anticipation Notes during the fiscal year. It redeemed \$51,000,000 of prior Bond Anticipation Notes, thus reducing short-term debt by \$2,500,000. The Community Provider program repaid \$4,000,000 of its loan to the State Funding Board with payments made by borrowers.

Current assets include unexpended note proceeds available to fund loans to State Loan Programs' eligible borrowers of \$6,474,368 at June 30, 2004, and \$9,352,218 at June 30, 2003. Restricted assets represent the debt service reserve fund. The largest component of both current and noncurrent assets is the loans receivable balance which represents the principal due from borrowers to the Authority. The Authority's unrestricted net assets are available to fund operations and other expenses necessary to meet the goals of the Authority.

Statements of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets Summary (in thousands)						
	2004	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>			
<b>Operating Revenues</b>						
Revenue from loans	\$ 2,911	\$ 4,626	\$ 5,321			
Investment earnings	359	<u>857</u>	<u>870</u>			
Total operating revenue	3,270	5,483	6,191			
Operating Expenses						
Interest expense	2,860	3,917	4,563			
Subsidy to borrowers	415	453	655			
Other expenses	451	410	<u>165</u>			
Total operating expenses	3,726	4,780	_ 5,383			
Operating Income	(456)	<u>703</u>	808			
Nonoperating Revenue (Expense)	25	(2,975)	25			
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	\$ <u>(431)</u>	\$ <u>(2,272)</u>	\$ <u>833</u>			

The Authority's operating expenses are supported by revenue received from the borrowers as a one-time 2% cost of issuance fee at the time of permanent financing, interest on loans, and income on investments. Operating expenses include interest expense on outstanding debt, administrative expenses, and the amortization of bond costs of issuance. The Authority returns a portion of the investment earnings as a subsidy to its borrowers in the State Loan Programs.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004, the decrease in the operating income and change in net assets was most affected by the refunding issue in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003, described above.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003, the decrease in the operating income was most affected by the significant expenses of the refunding issue described above. The Authority chose to pay costs normally borne by the borrowers by using funds available. The average interest rate on investments remained low. In addition, the legislature, in a one-time action, reverted \$3 million from the statutory reserve fund to the state's general fund.

#### **Contacting the Authority's Financial Management Team**

This discussion and analysis is designed to provide our citizens, Local Government Units, Community Providers, investors, and creditors with a general overview of the Authority's finances and to demonstrate its accountability for the monies it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the Director of Bond Finance, State of Tennessee, Suite 1600, James K. Polk Building, Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0273, or visit our website at www.comptroller.state.tn.us/cpdivbf.htm.

# TENNESSEE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY STATEMENTS OF NET ASSETS JUNE 30, 2004, AND JUNE 30, 2003

(Expressed in T	nousands)	I 20 2004	т.	ine 30, 2003
ASSETS		June 30, 2004		ine 50, 2005
Current assets:				
Cash (Note 2)	\$	15,269	\$	22,739
Receivables:		-,		,
Loans receivable		5,305		3,992
Interest receivable on loans		6		19
Investments (Note 2)		1,393		452
Interest receivable on investments		220	_	220
Total current assets		22,193		27,422
Noncurrent assets:				
Restricted assets (Notes 2 and 3)				
Investments		4,537		5,917
Loans receivable		71,839		80,062
Deferred charges		339		416
Total noncurrent assets		76,715		86,395
Total assets		98,908		113,817
LIABILITIES				
Current liabilities:				
Accrued interest payable		655		927
Payable to borrowers (Note 4)		397		416
Notes payable (Note 5)		48,134		48,950
Revenue bonds payable (Note 5)		2,920	_	3,355
Total current liabilities		52,106		53,648
Noncurrent liabilities:				
Loan from the State of Tennessee (Note 5)		5,800		8,300
Revenue bonds payable, net (Note 5)		29,854	_	40,290
Total noncurrent liabilities		35,654		48,590
Total liabilities		87,760		102,238
NET ASSETS				
Restricted		351		351
Unrestricted		10,797	_	11,228
Total net assets	\$	11,148	\$	11,579

The Notes to the Financial Statements are an integral part of this statement.

#### TENNESSEE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2004, AND JUNE 30, 2003

#### (Expressed in Thousands)

ODED LEDVIC DEVENIES	June 30, 2004	June 30, 2003
OPERATING REVENUES	• • • •	
Revenue from loans	\$ 2,911	\$ 4,626
Interest income	798	1,053
Net (decrease) in fair value of investments	(439)	(196)
Total operating revenues	3,270	5,483
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Interest expense	2,860	3,917
Subsidy to borrowers	415	453
Bond issuance cost	26	28
Arbitrage expense	229	162
Administrative expense	196	220
Total operating expenses	3,726	4,780
Operating income	(456)	703
NONOPERATING REVENUE (EXPENSE)		
Payment from the State of Tennessee	25	25
Payment to the State of Tennessee		(3,000)
Total nonoperating revenue (expense)	25	(2,975)
Change in net assets	(431)	(2,272)
Net assets, July 1	11,579	13,851
Net assets, June 30	\$ 11,148	\$ 11,579

The Notes to the Financial Statements are an integral part of this statement.

# TENNESSEE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2004, AND JUNE 30, 2003

(Expressed in Thousands)	Iuma 20, 2004		Iuma 20, 2002
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES	June 30, 2004		June 30, 2003
Payments to federal government	\$ (229)	\$	(162)
Payments to service providers	(196)		(220)
Net cash used by operating activities	(425)		(382)
CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from the sale of bonds	-		8,353
Proceeds from the sale of notes	48,186		48,978
Principal payments	(62,316)		(70,320)
Interest paid	(3,017)		(4,354)
Subsidy to borrowers	(409)		(544)
Payment from the State of Tennessee	25		25
Payment to the State of Tennessee			(3,000)
Net cash used by noncapital financing activities	(17,531)		(20,862)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Loans issued	(5,744)		(7,936)
Collections of loan principal	12,699		19,130
Interest received on loans	2,853		4,312
Purchase of investments	-		(5,333)
Proceeds from maturity of investments	-		5,725
Interest received on investments	798		992
Bond issuance costs paid	-		(203)
Premium paid	(120)		(187)
Net cash provided by investing activities	10,486		16,500
Net increase (decrease) in cash	(7,470)		(4,744)
Cash, July 1	22,739		27,483
Cash, June 30	\$ 15,269	\$	22,739
Reconciliation of operating income to net cash			
used by operating activities:		_	
Operating income (loss)	\$ (456)	\$	703
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to			
net cash used by operating activities:			
Amortization	26		28
Revenue from loans	(2,911)		(4,626)
Investment income	(359)		(857)
Interest expense	2,860		3,917
Subsidy to borrowers	415		453
Total adjustments	31		(1,085)
Net cash used by operating activities	\$ (425)	\$	(382)
Noncash investing activities:			
(Decrease) in fair value of investments	\$ (439)	\$	(196)

The Notes to the Financial Statements are an integral part of this statement.

#### NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### **Reporting Entity**

The Tennessee Local Development Authority was created to provide financial assistance to local governments through the issuance of revenue bonds or notes. The Authority has also issued bonds to assist nonprofit corporations in the construction of mental health, mental retardation, or alcohol and drug facilities. In accordance with the Governmental Accounting Standards Board's Statement No. 14, *The Reporting Entity*, the Authority is reported as a discretely presented component unit in the *Tennessee Comprehensive Annual Financial Report*. Although the Authority is a separate legal entity, its board consists primarily of state officials, and therefore, the state has the ability to affect the day-to-day operations of the Authority.

#### **Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). The Tennessee Local Development Authority follows all applicable GASB pronouncements as well as applicable private sector pronouncements issued on or before November 30, 1989.

Certain amounts presented for the preceding year have been reclassified for comparative purposes.

#### Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared using the accrual basis of accounting and the flow of economic resources measurement focus. Under this basis, revenues are recorded when earned, and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred.

The Tennessee Local Development Authority distinguishes operating revenues and expenses from nonoperating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with principal ongoing operations. The Authority's principal operation is to provide loans to local governments through the issuance of revenue bonds or notes. Therefore, the principal operating revenues of the Authority are from interest on loans made to borrowers. The Authority also recognizes income on investments as operating revenue. The Authority's operating

expenses include interest paid on borrowings, subsidies to borrowers, bond issuance costs, arbitrage, and administrative expenses. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenues and expenses.

#### Cash

This classification includes cash on hand and deposits in the pooled investment fund administered by the State Treasurer.

#### **Investments**

Investments are stated at fair value using quoted market prices.

#### **Bond Discounts and Issuance Costs**

Bond discounts and issuance costs are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the straight-line method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable unamortized bond discount. Unamortized issuance costs are reported as deferred charges.

#### NOTE 2. DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

**Deposits**. Under the general bond resolution of the Tennessee Local Development Authority, the funds of the Authority are to be deposited with the State Treasurer and can be invested in any security deemed acceptable to Treasury standards.

The Authority had \$15,268,649 in the State Treasurer's pooled investment fund at June 30, 2004, and \$22,738,607 at June 30, 2003. The pooled investment fund is authorized by statute to invest funds in accordance with policy guidelines approved by the State Funding Board. The current resolution of that board gives the Treasurer approval to invest in collateralized certificates of deposit in authorized state depositories, prime commercial paper, prime bankers' acceptances, bonds, notes, and treasury bills of the United States or other obligations guaranteed as to principal and interest by the United States or any of its agencies, repurchase agreements for obligations of the United States; and in certain obligations of the State of Tennessee pursuant to Section 9-4-602(b), *Tennessee Code Annotated*. The pooled investment fund's custodial credit risk is presented in the *Tennessee Comprehensive Annual Financial Report*. The report may be obtained by writing to the Tennessee Department of Finance and Administration, Division of Accounts,

14th Floor William R. Snodgrass Tennessee Tower, 312 Eighth Avenue North, Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0298, or by calling (615) 741-2140.

**Investments.** Investments are required to be categorized to indicate the level of custodial risk assumed by the Authority. All of the Authority's investments are category 1, which consists of investments that are insured or registered or for which the securities are held by the Authority or its agent in the Authority's name.

Authority investments at June 30, 2004, and June 30, 2003, are categorized below (expressed in thousands):

	Category 1	
	June 30,	June 30,
	2004	2003
U.S. Treasury Notes	\$4,731	\$5,170
U.S. Treasury Securities-State and Local Government Series	1,199	1,199
Total investments	\$5,930	\$6,369

#### NOTE 3. RESTRICTED ASSETS

The general bond resolution of the Authority requires that the principal of each bond issue include an amount equal to one year's debt service requirement and that such amount be placed in special trust accounts with the trustee. The required debt service reserve is \$4,510,695 at June 30, 2004, and \$5,890,872 at June 30, 2003.

The general bond resolution also requires that the debt service requirement in any year of the refunding bonds must not exceed the debt service requirement in any year of the refunded bonds. Two of the largest borrowers in the 2003 refunding issue chose to shorten the term of their loans by one year, causing the new debt service requirement in the year 2011 to exceed the prior debt service requirement by \$26,148.75. This amount has been yield restricted and placed in a special trust account with the trustee to be held until March 1, 2011. The deposit in effect, reduced the new debt service requirement not to exceed the prior debt service requirement.

#### NOTE 4. PAYABLE TO BORROWERS

This account represents interest earnings on restricted assets and loan principal overpayments that will be refunded to borrowers.

#### NOTE 5. DEBT PAYABLE

**Notes.** Revenue bond anticipation notes in the amount of \$47,500,000 were issued in June 2004 to retire at maturity the \$48,500,000 notes issued in 2003 and provide additional loan funds to local government units for water and sewer construction projects.

Notes payable at June 30, 2004, and June 30, 2003, are as follows (expressed in thousands):

		June 30,	June 30,
		2004	2003
Revenue bond anticipation notes, 3.00%, issued June 3, 2004, maturing May 31, 2005 (includes unamortized premium of \$634)	\$	48,134 \$	_
Revenue bond anticipation notes, 2.00%, issued June 9, 2003, maturing June 7, 2004 (includes unamortized premium		, .	
of \$450)	_	<del>_</del> -	48,950
Total notes payable	\$_	48,134 \$	48,950

Short-term debt activity for the year ended June 30, 2004, net of unamortized premium (expressed in thousands):

Beginning			Ending
<b>Balance</b>	<u>Additions</u>	Reductions	<b>Balance</b>
\$48,950	\$48,134	\$48,950	\$48,134

Short-term debt activity for the year ended June 30, 2003, net of unamortized premium (expressed in thousands):

Beginning			Ending
<b>Balance</b>	<u>Additions</u>	Reductions	<b>Balance</b>
\$51,513	\$48,950	\$51,513	\$48,950

**Revenue bonds.** Bonds payable at June 30, 2004, and June 30, 2003, are as follows (expressed in thousands):

		June 30, 2004	June 30, 2003
Refunding revenue bonds, 4.0% to 7.25%, issued January 14, 1987 (net of unamortized discount of \$333 at June 30, 2003)	-		2,238
Refunding revenue bonds, 2.5% to 5.75%, issued July 7, 1993		Ψ	,
		_	5,970
Refunding revenue bonds, 4.75% to 5.125%, issued November 1, 1997, due in various amounts of principal and interest from \$3.3 million in 2005 to \$.015 million in 2022 (net of unamortized discount of \$54 and deferred amount on refunding of \$1,316 at June 30, 2004, and unamortized discount of \$57 and deferred amount of refunding of \$1,395 at June 30, 2003)		25,760	27,493
Refunding revenue bonds, 1.95% to 4.00%, issued January 30, 2003, due in various amounts of principal and interest from \$1.3 million in 2005 to \$.16 million in 2015 (net of unamortized premium of \$51 and deferred amount on refunding of \$372 at June 30, 2004, and unamortized premium of \$56 and deferred amount on refunding of \$407 at June 30, 2003)			
<i>g</i>		7,014	7,944
Net bonds payable	\$_	32,774 \$	43,645

Debt service requirements to maturity of the revenue bonds payable at June 30, 2004, are as follows (expressed in thousands):

For the Year(s)			
Ending June 30	 Principal	Interest	Total
2005	\$ 2,920	\$ 1,591	\$ 4,511
2006	2,805	1,470	4,275
2007	2,455	1,359	3,814
2008	2,550	1,262	3,812
2009	2,665	1,147	3,812
2010-2014	12,460	3,958	16,418
2015-2019	7,985	1,116	9,101
2020-2022	625	44	669
Total	\$ 34,465	\$ 11,947	\$ 46,412

The above principal for bonds does not reflect a \$1,688,000 deduction from bonds payable for the deferred amount on refunding.

**Loan from the State of Tennessee.** On June 2, 1999, the State Funding Board loaned \$16,000,000 to the Authority for the Community Provider program. The loan is to be repaid from amounts received from the borrowers. The interest rate on the loan varies according to market conditions for the State of Tennessee's general obligation commercial paper. The rate ranged from 1.1% to 1.2% during 2004, and from 1.26% to 1.87% during 2003. The Authority has repaid \$10,200,000 as of June 30, 2004, and \$7,700,000 as of June 30, 2003.

Changes in long-term debt payable for the year ended June 30, 2004, are as follows (expressed in thousands):

	Beginning						Ending		
_	Balance	_	Additions	_	Reductions	_	Balance		
\$	45,781	\$	_	\$	11,316	\$	34,465		
	56		_		5		51		
	(390)		_		(336)		(54)		
_	(1,802)	_	_	_	(114)	_	(1,688)		
\$	43,645	\$		\$	10,871	\$	32,774		
		\$ 45,781 56 (390) (1,802)	Balance \$ 45,781 \$  56 (390)  (1,802)	Balance       Additions         \$ 45,781 \$ -         56 (390) -         (1,802) -	Balance       Additions         \$ 45,781 \$ - \$         56 - (390) - (1,802) -	Balance       Additions       Reductions         \$ 45,781 \$ - \$ 11,316         56 - 5 (390) - (336)         (1,802) - (114)	Balance       Additions       Reductions         \$ 45,781 \$ - \$ 11,316 \$         56 - 5 (390) - (336)         (1,802) - (114)		

Changes in long-term debt payable for the year ended June 30, 2003, are as follows (expressed in thousands):

		Beginning						Ending		
	_	Balance	_	Additions		Reductions		Balance		
Bonds payable										
Revenue bonds	\$	52,806	\$	8,295	\$	15,320	\$	45,781		
Unamortized amounts										
Premium		_		58		2		56		
Discount		(669)		_		(279)		(390)		
Deferred amount on										
refundings	_	(1,473)	_	(419)		(90)		(1,802)		
Total bonds payable	\$	50,664	\$	7,934	\$	14,953	\$	43,645		
	-		-		•		_			
Loans	\$	12,300	\$		\$	4,000	\$	8,300		

**Redemption of bonds.** During the year ended June 30, 2004, State Loan Programs Revenue Bonds of \$8,541,000 were retired with premium of \$119,400. This resulted in an expense to the State Loan Programs of \$503,559.

**Refunding**. On January 30, 2003, the Tennessee Local Development Authority issued \$8.295 million in State Loan Programs Revenue Bonds, 2003 Series A, to refund \$1.696 million of the outstanding 1986 bonds and \$6.685 million of the outstanding 1993 bonds. Net proceeds of \$8.353 million, which includes a net premium of \$.058 million, combined with other funds available to the Authority, were used to purchase an escrow to redeem the 1986 bonds and 1993 bonds on March 1, 2003.

The refunding resulted in a difference between the reacquisition price and the net carrying amount of the old debt of \$.419 million. This difference, reported in the accompanying financial statements as a deduction from bonds payable, is being charged to operations through the year 2015 using the straight-line method. The Authority completed the refunding to reduce its total debt service payments over the next 12 years by \$1.112 million and to obtain an economic gain (difference between the present values of the old and new debt service payments) of \$.455 million.

**Prior Year Defeasance of Debt.** Prior to fiscal year 2004, the Authority defeased certain revenue bonds of the Community Provider Program by placing the proceeds of general obligation notes in an irrevocable trust to provide for all future debt service payments on the defeased bonds. Accordingly, the trust account assets and the liability for the defeased bonds are not included in the financial statements of the Authority. On June 30, 2004, \$8,305,000 of bonds outstanding were considered defeased.

#### NOTE 6. PAYMENT TO STATE OF TENNESSEE

Pursuant to Section 41, Item 43 of the 2003 Appropriations Act, the Authority contributed \$3,000,000 to the State of Tennessee to provide funds to the state's general fund for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003.

# TENNESSEE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY SUPPLEMENTARY STATEMENTS OF NET ASSETS - PROGRAM LEVEL JUNE 30, 2004, AND JUNE 30, 2003

			Jun	e 30, 2004			June 30, 2003							
	State Loan Programs		,					State Loan Programs	_	Community Providers	-	Total		
ASSETS														
Current assets:														
Cash	\$	14,843	\$	426	\$	15,269	\$	21,163	\$	1,576	\$	22,739		
Receivables:														
Loans receivable		2,920		2,385		5,305		3,355		637		3,992		
Interest receivable on loans		5		1		6		9		10		19		
Investments		1,393		-		1,393		452		-		452		
Interest receivable on investments		220	_		_	220	-	220	-		-	220		
Total current assets		19,381	_	2,812	_	22,193	_	25,199	-	2,223	-	27,422		
Noncurrent assets:														
Restricted assets														
Investments		4,537		-		4,537		5,917		-		5,917		
Loans receivable		67,075		4,764		71,839		72,712		7,350		80,062		
Deferred charges		339	_		_	339	-	416	-		-	416		
Total noncurrent assets		71,951	_	4,764	=	76,715	=	79,045	=	7,350	-	86,395		
Total assets		91,332	_	7,576	_	98,908	=	104,244	=	9,573	-	113,817		
LIABILITIES														
Current liabilities:														
Accrued interest payable		638		17		655		900		27		927		
Payable to borrowers		389		8		397		402		14		416		
Notes payable		48,134		-		48,134		48,950		-		48,950		
Revenue bonds payable		2,920	_	-	_	2,920	=	3,355	=		-	3,355		
Total current liabilities		52,081	_	25	_	52,106	-	53,607	-	41	-	53,648		
Noncurrent liabilities:														
Loan from the State of Tennessee		_		5,800		5,800		_		8,300		8,300		
Revenue bonds payable, net		29,854	_		_	29,854	-	40,290	_		-	40,290		
Total noncurrent liabilities		29,854	_	5,800	_	35,654	-	40,290	-	8,300	-	48,590		
Total liabilities		81,935	_	5,825	_	87,760	-	93,897	-	8,341	-	102,238		
NET ASSETS														
Restricted		351		-		351		351		-		351		
Unrestricted		9,046	_	1,751	_	10,797	=	9,996	=	1,232	=	11,228		
Total net assets	\$	9,397	\$ _	1,751	\$ _	11,148	\$	10,347	\$	1,232	\$	11,579		

#### TENNESSEE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY SUPPLEMENTARY STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS - PROGRAM LEVEL FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2004, AND JUNE 30, 2003

#### (Expressed in Thousands)

	_	Y	ear En	ided June 30, 20	004	Year Ended June 30, 2003						
		State Loan Programs		Community Providers		Total	_	State Loan Programs		Community Providers	=	Total
OPERATING REVENUES												
Revenue from loans	\$	2,316	\$	595	\$	2,911	\$	3,742	\$	884	\$	4,626
Interest income		789		9		798		1,042		11		1,053
Net (decrease) in fair value of investments		(439)			_	(439)	-	(196)			-	(196)
Total operating revenues		2,666		604	_	3,270	_	4,588		895	-	5,483
OPERATING EXPENSES												
Interest expense		2,780		80		2,860		3,726		191		3,917
Subsidy to borrowers		411		4		415		442		11		453
Bond issuance cost		26		-		26		28		-		28
Arbitrage expense		229		-		229		162		-		162
Administrative expense		195		1	_	196	_	219		1_	-	220
Total operating expenses		3,641		85	_	3,726	_	4,577		203	_	4,780
Operating income		(975)		519	-	(456)	_	11		692	-	703
NONOPERATING REVENUE (EXPENSE)												
Payment from the State of Tennessee		25		-		25		25		-		25
Payment to the State of Tennessee					_	-	_	(3,000)			-	(3,000)
Total nonoperating revenue (expense)		25			_	25	_	(2,975)			-	(2,975)
Change in net assets		(950)		519		(431)		(2,964)		692		(2,272)
Net assets, July 1		10,347		1,232	_	11,579	_	13,311		540	_	13,851
Net assets, June 30	\$	9,397	\$	1,751	\$	11,148	\$	10,347	\$	1,232	\$	11,579

#### TENNESSEE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY SUPPLEMENTARY STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS - PROGRAM LEVEL FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2004, AND JUNE 30, 2003

#### (Expressed in Thousands)

	Year Ended June 30, 2004							Year Ended June 30, 2003							
	-	State Loan Programs		Community Providers	-	Total	-	State Loan Programs	-	Community Providers	<del>-</del>	Total			
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVIT	TIES														
Payments to federal government Payments to service providers	\$	(229) (195)	\$	(1)	\$	(229) (196)	\$	(162) (219)	\$	(1)	\$	(162) (220)			
Net cash used by operating activities	-	(424)		(1)	=	(425)	-	(381)	-	(1)	=	(382)			
CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINAN	CINO	G ACTIVITIES	S												
Proceeds from the sale of bonds	C1.11	-	,	-		_		8,353		_		8,353			
Proceeds from the sale of notes		48,186		_		48,186		48,978		_		48,978			
Principal payments		(59,816)		(2,500)		(62,316)		(66,320)		(4,000)		(70,320)			
Interest paid		(2,927)		(90)		(3,017)		(4,131)		(223)		(4,354)			
Subsidy to borrowers		(397)		(12)		(409)		(526)		(18)		(544)			
Payment from the State of Tennessee		25				25		25		-		25			
Payment to the State of Tennessee		-		_		-		(3,000)		-		(3,000)			
•	•				_		-	<u>.</u>	-		_				
Net cash used by noncapital financing activities	-	(14,929)		(2,602)	-	(17,531)	-	(16,621)	-	(4,241)	-	(20,862)			
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITY	IES														
Loans issued		(5,744)		-		(5,744)		(7,936)		-		(7,936)			
Collections of loan principal		11,848		851		12,699		14,729		4,401		19,130			
Interest received on loans		2,260		593		2,853		3,448		864		4,312			
Purchases of investments		-		-		-		(5,333)		-		(5,333)			
Proceeds from maturity of investments		-		-		-		5,725		-		5,725			
Interest received on investments		789		9		798		980		12		992			
Bond issuance costs paid		-		-		-		(203)		-		(203)			
Premium paid		(120)			_	(120)	-	(187)	-		_	(187)			
Net cash provided by investing activities	-	9,033		1,453	_	10,486	_	11,223	-	5,277	_	16,500			
Net increase (decrease) in cash		(6,320)		(1,150)		(7,470)		(5,779)		1,035		(4,744)			
Cash, July 1	-	21,163		1,576	-	22,739	-	26,942	-	541	-	27,483			
Cash, June 30	\$	14,843	\$	426	\$	15,269	\$	21,163	\$	1,576	\$	22,739			
Reconciliation of operating income to net cash															
<b>used by operating activities:</b> Operating income	\$	(975)	\$	519	\$_	(456)	\$	11	\$	692	\$	703			
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash used by operating activities:															
Amortization		26				26		28				28			
Revenue from loans		(2,316)		(595)		(2,911)		(3,742)		(884)		(4,626)			
Investment income		(350)		(9)		(359)		(846)		(11)		(857)			
Interest expense		2,780		80		2,860		3,726		191		3,917			
Subsidy to borrowers		411		4		415		442		11		453			
	-			<u></u>	-		-		-		-				
Total adjustments	-	551		(520)	-	31	-	(392)	-	(693)	-	(1,085)			
Net cash used by operating activities	\$	(424)	\$	(1)	\$ _	(425)	\$	(381)	\$	(1)	\$ =	(382)			
Noncash investing activities: (Decrease) in fair value of investments	\$	(439)	\$	_	\$	(439)	\$	(196)	\$	_	\$	(196)			
	-	( /	Ψ		-	( /	-	()	-		-	( 0)			